Graduation Project Report Assessment Rubric

Student Name:	
Project Title:	
Date:	

Criteria	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	Score
Abstract	Clear, concise, and informative; summarizes key aspects of the project effectively.	key aspects	Basic summary; misses some important elements or lacks clarity.	Poorly written; unclear and fails to summarize key points.	No abstract or completely irrelevant.	
Introduction	Provides excellent background and clearly states objectives; highly relevant.	objectives are	Basic background; objectives are vague or somewhat unclear.	Limited background; objectives poorly defined.	No introduction or off-topic.	
Literature Review	Comprehensiv e review of relevant literature; identifies clear gaps.	addresses most key studies but misses some	Adequate review; covers some relevant literature but lacks depth.	Minimal literature review; poorly organized or unclear.	No literature review or irrelevant sources.	
Methodology	Detailed and clear methodology; all materials and procedures are well described.	most materials	Basic methodology; lacks detail in some areas.	Poorly described methodology; lacks clarity or detail.	No methodology section or irrelevant content.	
Results and Discussion	Results are well-presented and thoroughly analyzed; insightful discussion.	clearly; analysis is mostly good; discussion is	Basic presentation of results; analysis is limited; discussion lacks depth.	Results poorly presented; analysis is weak; discussion is unclear.	No results or discussion section.	

Criteria	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	Score
Conclusion	effectively	Good conclusion; summarizes findings but lacks some depth.	Basic conclusion; summarizes some findings but is vague.	Poorly written conclusion; fails to summarize key points.	No conclusion or irrelevant content.	
Recommenda tions	ons based on	Good recommendati ons; mostly relevant to findings.		recommendati ons; poorly tied	No recommendatio ns or irrelevant suggestions.	
References	formatted; all sources are	Good reference list; mostly correct formatting; minor errors.	Adequate references; some formatting errors or questionable sources.	Poorly organized reference list; many formatting errors.	No references or irrelevant sources.	
Overall Clarity and Organization	clear and well-	Generally clear and organized; minor issues with flow.	Some clarity issues; organization is basic but understandabl e.		Very unclear; no logical organization.	

Total Score: _____ / 45 Each criterion will carry the same weight in the assessment.